

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/617,216	07/08/2003	Marie T. Fishman	21675	3121
20551	7590 03/24/2005		EXAM	INER
THORPE NORTH & WESTERN, LLP. 8180 SOUTH 700 EAST, SUITE 200			WRIGHT, ANDREW D	
P.O. BOX 1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANDY, UT	84070		3617	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/24/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
V	10/617,216	FISHMAN, MARIE T.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew Wright	3617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statule, cause the application to become AB/	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 December 2004.				
<u> </u>					
•					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-40,42,43 and 45 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 8,15-40,42,43 and 45 is/are allow 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6 and 12-14 is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,7 and 9-11 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration. wed.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the one of the cath or declaration is objected to by the cath of the cath o					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docured Copies of the priority docured S. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Between the attached detailed Office action for the certification from the International Between the attached detailed Office action for the certification from the International Between the attached detailed Office action for the certification from the International Between the attached detailed Office action for the certification from the International Between the attached detailed Office action for the certification from the International Between the attached detailed Office action for the certification from the International Between the certification from the Internation	ments have been received. ments have been received in Aperiority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsui (US 6,085,449). Regarding claim 1, Tsui shows a bracelet having a body (10) with a cavity for holding badge (14). The badge constitutes an information-bearing medium. The bracelet further comprises a strap assembly including strap member (16) and pair of clasps (18).
- 3. Claim 2, the clasps are rotatably coupled to the body.
- 4. Claim 5, the body includes lower and upper sheets that are engaged to form the cavity.
- 5. Claim 6, the upper sheet is heat sealed to the lower sheet. The bead of the seal constitutes a seal that is substantially water resistant.
- 6. Claim 12, each clasp (18) has a pair of splayable fingers (fig 4) that define a substantially elliptical receiving area.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3617

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 8. Claims 1, 4, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsui (US 6,085,449). Tsui discloses the elements of claim 1. Regarding claim 4, Tsui shows that each clasp (18) has a pair of splayable fingers (fig 4). Tsui does not disclose the material of the clasps (18). It is arguable that every material has an inherent degree of plastic memory (however small it may be). However, Tsui does disclose that the other portions of the bracelet are made of flexible plastic. Since Tsui does not disclose the material of the clasps, one wishing to make and use the Tsui apparatus would necessarily have to decide on a material. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the clasps of plastic. The motivation would be to use materials similar to what is used in the rest of the apparatus.
- 9. Regarding claim 13, Tsui does not disclose that the badge (14) is water resistant. It is well known and common to construct badges using a plastic laminate that is water resistant. A state-issued driver's license is an example. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a water resistant badge. The motivation would be to use well known badges that are resistant to damage from water.
- 10. Claim 14, Tsui does not disclose the information on the badge. A badge typically has identification information. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 3617

the art at the time the invention was made to use a badge that has identification information. The motivation would be to use the badge to identify the wearer.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 8, 15-40, 42, 43, and 45 are allowed.
- 12. Claims 3, 7, and 9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lederer ('280), Rodgers ('057), Rieth ('985), Adams et al. ('566), and Seabrook ('552) all show an identification bracelet.
- 15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Andrew D. Wright at telephone number (703) 308-6841. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joe Morano, can be reached at (703) 308-0230. The fax number for official communications is 703-872-9306. The fax number directly to the examiner for unofficial communications is 703-746-3548.

The examiner and his supervisor are relocating to the new Office campus in Alexandria, VA, on or around April 11, 2005. Telephone calls to the examiner and/or examiner's supervisor <u>after that date</u> should be directed as follows. The examiner's new telephone will be (571) 272-6690. The examiner's fax number for unofficial communications will be (571) 273-6690. The supervisor's new telephone number will be (571) 272-6684.

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew D. Wright Patent Examiner Art Unit 3617

ANDREW D. WRIGHT